

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Timothy Edward Crosby

Date of Previous Judgment: 12/13/2000
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 3:99CR202-02

USM No: 15911-058

Lyle J. Yurko

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 84 months **is reduced to** 68 months (Cts 1&2).

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: <u>29</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>27</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>I</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>I</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>87</u> to <u>108</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>70</u> to <u>87</u> months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/13/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: May 19, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

